

**Notice of Completion & Environmental Document Transmittal**

Mail to: State Clearinghouse, P.O. Box 3044, Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613  
 For Hand Delivery/Street Address: 1400 Tenth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

<b>SCH #</b>
--------------

**Project Title:** \_\_\_\_\_  
 Lead Agency: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact Person: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
 City: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_ County: \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Location:** County: \_\_\_\_\_ City/Nearest Community: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cross Streets: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip Code: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Longitude/Latitude (degrees, minutes and seconds): \_\_\_\_\_° \_\_\_\_\_' \_\_\_\_\_" N / \_\_\_\_\_° \_\_\_\_\_' \_\_\_\_\_" W Total Acres: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Assessor's Parcel No.: \_\_\_\_\_ Section: \_\_\_\_\_ Twp.: \_\_\_\_\_ Range: \_\_\_\_\_ Base: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #: \_\_\_\_\_ Waterways: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Airports: \_\_\_\_\_ Railways: \_\_\_\_\_ Schools: \_\_\_\_\_

**Document Type:**

CEQA: <input type="checkbox"/> NOP	<input type="checkbox"/> Draft EIR	NEPA: <input type="checkbox"/> NOI	Other: <input type="checkbox"/> Joint Document
<input type="checkbox"/> Early Cons	<input type="checkbox"/> Supplement/Subsequent EIR	<input type="checkbox"/> EA	<input type="checkbox"/> Final Document
<input type="checkbox"/> Neg Dec	(Prior SCH No.) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Draft EIS	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Mit Neg Dec	Other: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> FONSI	_____

**Local Action Type:**

<input type="checkbox"/> General Plan Update	<input type="checkbox"/> Specific Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> Rezone	<input type="checkbox"/> Annexation
<input type="checkbox"/> General Plan Amendment	<input type="checkbox"/> Master Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> Prezone	<input type="checkbox"/> Redevelopment
<input type="checkbox"/> General Plan Element	<input type="checkbox"/> Planned Unit Development	<input type="checkbox"/> Use Permit	<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Permit
<input type="checkbox"/> Community Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> Site Plan	<input type="checkbox"/> Land Division (Subdivision, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

**Development Type:**

<input type="checkbox"/> Residential: Units _____ Acres _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation: Type _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Office: Sq.ft. _____ Acres _____ Employees _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Mining: Mineral _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial: Sq.ft. _____ Acres _____ Employees _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Power: Type _____ MW _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial: Sq.ft. _____ Acres _____ Employees _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Waste Treatment: Type _____ MGD _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Educational: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazardous Waste: Type _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Recreational: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Facilities: Type _____ MGD _____	

**Project Issues Discussed in Document:**

<input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetic/Visual	<input type="checkbox"/> Fiscal	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreation/Parks	<input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation
<input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural Land	<input type="checkbox"/> Flood Plain/Flooding	<input type="checkbox"/> Schools/Universities	<input type="checkbox"/> Water Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Forest Land/Fire Hazard	<input type="checkbox"/> Septic Systems	<input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply/Groundwater
<input type="checkbox"/> Archeological/Historical	<input type="checkbox"/> Geologic/Seismic	<input type="checkbox"/> Sewer Capacity	<input type="checkbox"/> Wetland/Riparian
<input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	<input type="checkbox"/> Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grading	<input type="checkbox"/> Growth Inducement
<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Zone	<input type="checkbox"/> Noise	<input type="checkbox"/> Solid Waste	<input type="checkbox"/> Land Use
<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage/Absorption	<input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing Balance	<input type="checkbox"/> Toxic/Hazardous	<input type="checkbox"/> Cumulative Effects
<input type="checkbox"/> Economic/Jobs	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Services/Facilities	<input type="checkbox"/> Traffic/Circulation	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

**Present Land Use/Zoning/General Plan Designation:**

**Project Description:** (please use a separate page if necessary)

Note: The State Clearinghouse will assign identification numbers for all new projects. If a SCH number already exists for a project (e.g. Notice of Preparation or previous draft document) please fill in.

## Reviewing Agencies Checklist

Lead Agencies may recommend State Clearinghouse distribution by marking agencies below with an "X".  
If you have already sent your document to the agency please denote that with an "S".

<input type="checkbox"/> Air Resources Board	<input type="checkbox"/> Office of Historic Preservation
<input type="checkbox"/> Boating & Waterways, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Office of Public School Construction
<input type="checkbox"/> California Emergency Management Agency	<input type="checkbox"/> Parks & Recreation, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> California Highway Patrol	<input type="checkbox"/> Pesticide Regulation, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans District # _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Utilities Commission
<input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans Division of Aeronautics	<input type="checkbox"/> Regional WQCB # _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Resources Agency
<input type="checkbox"/> Central Valley Flood Protection Board	<input type="checkbox"/> Resources Recycling and Recovery, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> Coachella Valley Mtns. Conservancy	<input type="checkbox"/> S.F. Bay Conservation & Development Comm.
<input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Commission	<input type="checkbox"/> San Gabriel & Lower L.A. Rivers & Mtns. Conservancy
<input type="checkbox"/> Colorado River Board	<input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin River Conservancy
<input type="checkbox"/> Conservation, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Santa Monica Mtns. Conservancy
<input type="checkbox"/> Corrections, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> State Lands Commission
<input type="checkbox"/> Delta Protection Commission	<input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Clean Water Grants
<input type="checkbox"/> Education, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Water Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Energy Commission	<input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Water Rights
<input type="checkbox"/> Fish & Game Region # _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Tahoe Regional Planning Agency
<input type="checkbox"/> Food & Agriculture, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Toxic Substances Control, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> Forestry and Fire Protection, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Water Resources, Department of
<input type="checkbox"/> General Services, Department of	
<input type="checkbox"/> Health Services, Department of	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Housing & Community Development	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Native American Heritage Commission	

---

### Local Public Review Period (to be filled in by lead agency)

Starting Date \_\_\_\_\_ Ending Date \_\_\_\_\_

---

### Lead Agency (Complete if applicable):

Consulting Firm: \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_ Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
City/State/Zip: \_\_\_\_\_ City/State/Zip: \_\_\_\_\_  
Contact: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

---

Signature of Lead Agency Representative: Chris Brady Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Authority cited: Section 21083, Public Resources Code. Reference: Section 21161, Public Resources Code.

## **Waldo Road Over Dry Creek Bridge Replacement Project Description – Demolition**

### **Project Description**

Yuba County (County) is proposing to implement the Waldo Road over Dry Creek Bridge Replacement Project (Project), which would build a new bridge to carry Waldo Road over Dry Creek, approximately 100 feet upstream from the existing bridge (Bridge No. 16C0006). The Project is located in rural Yuba County, roughly 14 miles northeast of Wheatland. Waldo Road is a generally north/south road and the bridge crosses Dry Creek on a generally north/south alignment. Waldo Road and connecting roads Spenceville Road and Camp Far West Road, are all lightly traveled routes passing through rolling Sierra foothills terrain. The bridge is located within the Spenceville Wildlife Area, a 11,900-acre wildlife preserve and public outdoor recreation area administered by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW).

The existing bridge is currently classified as structurally deficient, with a sufficiency rating of 9.3. A new bridge is necessary to meet current design and safety standards which can safely convey vehicles, including emergency response vehicles, and pedestrians across Dry Creek. The replacement bridge will meet current applicable County, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, and Caltrans design standards.

The proposed new bridge is a continuous three-span, post-tensioned concrete box girder bridge. The spans are 72 feet, 96 feet, and 72 feet respectively. It will have two, twelve-foot travel lanes and two, four-foot shoulders and provide a clear width between barrier rails of 34 feet. A vehicular railing will be attached to the edge of deck of the new structure. The piers supporting the intermediate spans will be two, four-foot diameter columns pinned at their bases with end spans supported by seat type abutments with wingwalls protected by rock slope protection. Abutments 1 and 4 (the end supports) will be founded on spread footing foundations, both embedded and doweled into intact rock at each support.

The new bridge will require a realignment of the roadway, which will correct the existing substandard curves on roadway approaches to the bridge. The vertical profile of the new bridge will be raised slightly to provide sufficient water conveyance beneath the bridge during flood events. This will also require a slight rise in the approach roadway elevation, which will gradually decrease until the realigned roadway conforms to the existing roadway elevations.

Once the new bridge has been constructed, the existing bridge would be demolished. Preservation and maintenance of the bridge is not possible due to the presence of hazardous lead paint throughout the structure, the non-standard design components, substandard curves, unprotected pedestrian access, and on-going timber and steel maintenance issues.

Acquisition of permanent right-of-way is anticipated for this Project. Since the proposed alignment is shifting the new bridge to the east along with new approach alignments, Yuba County will no longer need right-of-way a portion of the existing right-of-way along the existing alignment, which can be given to CDFW for use in the Spenceville Wildlife Area.

### **Project Purpose**

The purpose of the Project is to replace the structurally deficient bridge in order to:

- Enhance safety by providing a crossing sufficient for both pedestrian and vehicular use, including emergency vehicles; and

- Provide a transportation facility consistent with County and Caltrans Standards, as well as local and regional plans.

### **Project Need**

The existing bridge is currently classified as structurally deficient, with a sufficiency rating of 9.3. A new bridge is necessary to meet current design and safety standards which can safely convey vehicles, including emergency response vehicles, and pedestrians across Dry Creek. Full replacement of the bridge is needed because the current structures do not meet structural design standards, which include substandard curves on both bridge roadway approaches and insufficient width to safely provide crossing by both vehicular and pedestrian users.

### **No Build Alternative**

Under the No Build alternative, the existing bridge would not be rehabilitated or replaced. The existing bridge would continue to be classified as structurally deficient. This would result in continued deterioration of the bridge which would likely result in an adverse effect/significant impact under both Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and CEQA. Further, the bridge would continue to have hazardous lead paint and fail to meet current applicable County, America Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, and Caltrans design standards.